

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/579,795           | 05/18/2006                        | Dieter Boeckh        | 289275US0PCT        | 4756             |  |
| OBLON SPIX           | 7590 04/09/201<br>'AK, MCCLELLAND | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 1940 DUKE STREET     |                                   |                      | MRUK, BRIAN P       |                  |  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                      |                                   | 1796                 |                     |                  |  |
|                      |                                   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | 04/09/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/579,795      | BOECKH ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |  |
| Brian P. Mruk   | 1796          |  |  |

|  | Brian I . Wilak   | 1750   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE            | date of the final rejection                                | n.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date   |   | 26(a) and the annualist                                    |  |
| Extensions of unitering be duranted united 37 CFR.1.130(a). The above been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in CFR.1.17(a) is bave, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.  | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second sec | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>   | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | E below);  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett   | ter form for appeal by materially red   | lucing or simplifying ti                                   | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |   | mnliant Amendment (  | PTOL -324)                               |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>   |   | - I pilatit / III o i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i      |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   | imely filed amendmer                                       | t canceling the                          |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | be entered and an e  | planation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4-9 and 11-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. Se     | l and/or appellant fail<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1            | s to provide a                           |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |  |  |
| io. [ Oulei  |   |  |  |

/Brian P Mruk/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Narrowing the scope of independent claims 1, 13 and 14 raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. Also, while applicant's Rule 1,132 Declaration provides support for polymers having a glass transition temperature between -62 degrees Celsius to -48 degrees Celsius, the Rule 1,132 Declaration does not provide support for polymers that have a glass transition temperature that is less than -62 degrees Celsius. Accordingly, the newly added limitation "glass transition temperature of no more than -48 degrees Celsius" in independent claims 1, 13 and 14 raises the issue of new matter.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are written in view of the claims as they appear in the proposed amendments, and thus, are rendered moot, since the proposed amendments have not been entered for the reasons given above.